1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 6 7 LINDA MACKAY, 8 Plaintiff(s), Case No. 2:13-cv-1146-JCM-NJK 9 ORDER DISCHARGING VS. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 10 UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC., 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 Pending before the Court is an order for Defendant and Defendant's counsel to show cause why they should not be sanctioned for failing to cooperate in the scheduling of a Rule 26(f) conference and 14 15 in the filing of a proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 15. The Court has now received a response from Defendant and Defendant's counsel. Docket No. 18. Defendant and Defendant's counsel assert 16 17 that one of the attorneys assigned to the case had a medical emergency during this period. See id. at 2. 18 Unfortunately, the response does not adequately explain why the other attorneys assigned to the case 19 did not cooperate in the scheduling of a Rule 26(f) conference or the filing of a proposed discovery 20 plan. The Court hereby CAUTIONS Defendant and Defendant's counsel that the failure to comply 21 with the Local Rules, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and/or Court orders may result in sanctions, up 22 to and including, case-dispositive sanctions. At this time, the Court declines to impose monetary or 23 case-dispositive sanctions. Accordingly, the order to show cause is hereby DISCHARGED. IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 DATED: October 17, 2013 26 27 NANCY J. KOPPE 28

United States Magistrate Judge